

**Summary of Children's Consultation Response Sheet**

**Please complete and return to the Provision Planning Team by.....**

School Name: Trinity Church of England/ Methodist Primary School

Total number of children consulted:338

Year group/s consulted: All

Date consultation took place: 04.02.15

Methods used:

Class discussions with class teacher about the proposal to go to3FE on a split site

Some children voted, some wrote reasons for and against, others compiled writing plans with both viewpoints and completed writing tasks about creating a balanced argument .

After these activities groups of children from each class gave verbal / written feedback to the headteacher.

It was very difficult for the children to separate out whether they were in favour or not of the expansion from their understandable questions, queries and enquiries about how school would operate over two sites and the implications for them and their families.

Overall, what proportion of the participation group found the proposed change:

This is an approximation from the verbal feedback given

Positive

Negative

A couple of older children, without sibings, said they weren't really bothered because they would be at high school !

What were the key issues raised in support of and against the proposal which were gathered from the consultation?

### In support of the proposal

We've not enough room now if lots more children want to come here so we need a different school.

There will be more room for my baby brother/sister.

More people can be part of the Trinity family.

We can make new friends.

It's good that it's separate it would be too noisy if everyone came here.

We get to have another school.

I've got 2 brothers and a sister who want to come and there's load of babies in prams on Buckshaw.

It would be good to have 90 , my friend from nursery couldn't come and then she would be able to.

Good to have two sites, we might be able to have a bigger car park because our car park isn't big enough.

New people would mean new teachers and new learning.

There are lots of houses being built so a new school building is good because more people can come and not have to travel far away to another school.

It wouldn't be fair if it was too crowded here.

Other people want a good education like we do, they want opportunities to learn .

It's the best way to fit everyone in , good to share the experience.

The playground might be dangerous.

Not a good idea – Mrs Wright can't be in two places at once.

We can't all fit in our hall for worship or for Christmas Carol Service if its 630 children even if we squashed up.

Traffic is very tricky now if you have to go to two schools it might be trickier.

The other school is a long way away.

Things might get complicated and confusing .

No, because the school is happy and friendly how you've made it.

The following are questions for consideration which neither support or oppose the proposal which the children raised :

Where could the whole school and mums and dads get together if the Bishop comes or we're giving out awards ? Our hall isn't big enough .

How can we make sure we can do School Council ?

Will we have a school bus ?

We will need lots and lots of money for new computers and reading books .

What about Mrs Corrigan's library she's spent so much money on it and she'll have to start all over again.

Have we got enough iPads?

What if the Hub doesn't have enough room ? (Before and After School )

Will there be a zip wire between sights ?

Will the new school have a MUGA and the outdoor play equipment because Mrs Wright said it cost thousand and thousands of pounds?

Could we afford all the same resources for the other site ?

*The children's perception of the need to have the same sort of provision at the new site to make it 'fair' was very prominent in their thinking about a new school site and it still being Trinity School . JR Wright*